	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/700,729	PUDIPEDDI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BAOQUOC TO	2162
Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowance</u>		
(1) <u>BAOQUOC TO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Andrew Tibbetts, Reg. No. 65,139.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 20 Prior art documents discussed:		
Thorait documents discussed.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner contacted applicant representative, Andrew Tibbetts, Reg. No. 65,139 to discuss claim 20 which depended on canceled claim 18. Mr. Tibbetts agrees the changed with the examiner proposed amendment by amending claim 18 depended on claim 13.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/BAOQUOC TO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162		
· ·	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)